	GCLKDURS	SENTENCE	
1 2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORKx		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA	,	
4	V.	16 CR 104 (RMB)	
5	HOSTO DURAN,		
6	Defendant		
7		x	
8		New York, N.Y. December 21, 2016	
9		10:05 a.m.	
10	Before:		
11	HON. RICHARD M. BERMAN,		
12		District Judge	
13		APPEARANCES	
15		AFFEARANCES	
16	PREET BHARARA, United States Attorney for the		
17	Southern District of New York ELI MARK		
18	Assistant United Sta	ates Attorney	
19	PATRICK JEROME BRACKLEY Attorney for Defendant		
20	ALCO DECENT.		
21	ALSO PRESENT:	ah Interpreter	
22	FRANCISCO OLIVERO, Spanish Interpreter		
23			
24			
25			

1 (Case called)

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: As you know, we are on today for sentencing.

THE INTERPRETER: My apologies, your Honor, the interpreter thought that the equipment was working.

(Pause)

MR. BRACKLEY: Judge, before that, the interpreter has an extra set of headphones for one of the defendant's family members, and I seek your permission --

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. BRACKLEY: Thank you, Judge.

(Pause)

THE INTERPRETER: Thank you.

THE COURT: Is Mr. Duran able to understand these proceedings with the help of the interpreter?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

THE COURT: We are here for sentencing today. The rules of sentencing have changed. The sentencing guidelines are no longer mandatory and instead what we do, as sentencing judges, is to look at the factors found at 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), which I've done before taking the bench today. They include the nature and the circumstances of the offense as well as the history and characteristics of the defendant. We try to accomplish these objectives reflecting the seriousness of the offense, promoting respect for the law,

SENTENCE

GCLKDURS

providing just punishment, affording adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, protecting the public from further crimes, providing the defendant with any needed educational or vocational training or medical care or other correctional treatment in the most effective manner.

In doing all that, we look at the kinds of sentences available, including the kinds of sentence and the sentencing range established under the sentencing guidelines, even though these are no longer mandatory; we also review any policy statements issued by the United States Sentencing Commission that may be applicable; we seek to avoid unwarranted sentence disparities among similarly situated defendants; and, in appropriate cases, to provide for restitution.

We start with a guidelines analysis. Here, the guideline range is 70 months to 87 months of incarceration, based on an offense level of 27 and a criminal history category of 1.

In reviewing the factors at 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), these stand out as most relevant:

Mr. Duran pled guilty on September 14, 2016, to a conspiracy to distribute and possess with the intent to distribute heroin and cocaine. That was done before Magistrate Judge Gorenstein. The guilty plea was accepted by me on September 20.

Mr. Duran pled guilty pursuant to a plea agreement

SENTENCE

GCLKDURS

which was dated July 27, 2016, in which there was a stipulated guideline range of 70 to 87 months, based on an offense level of 27 and a criminal history category of I.

Mr. Duran is 40, he's married and has two children.

He was born in the Dominican Republic but became a United

States naturalized citizen in 2012. He has some college
education. He has been employed in the past working for

Quik Park, where he made copies, it is said, of customer credit
cards for his own personal use while in that employment. He
also has some charges pending in state court.

Mr. Duran was initially on pretrial release but was remanded by the Court after he was arrested on charges of identity theft. He's been in custody now for approximately two months.

The presentence report says that there was a court date of 11/22/16 scheduled. He was remanded in federal custody at that time, so it's unclear what took place in state court. Perhaps during the course of the proceedings somebody might know the answer to that.

Mr. Duran has also been an Uber driver, and this offense that we're here about occurred during his employment as an Uber driver.

Prior to that employment, he worked for various car services and limousine companies. He also has prior employment at a gym, a furniture store, and a clothing company.

By letter dated November 29, 2016, defense counsel requests a no more than a bottom-of-the-guideline sentence. Among other things, defense counsel notes the strong family ties the defendant has as well as his strong work history. And that is remarkably demonstrated in the materials submitted particularly by family and friends of Mr. Duran in the form of letters to the Court.

Defense counsel states that despite his minimal education and few marketable skills, Mr. Duran has exhibited considerable industry since his arrival here in 2000 in search of a better life. Defense counsel states that despite his recent misstep under pretrial supervision, Mr. Duran's consistent industry, strong family ties, acceptance of responsibility, and absence of substance abuse history indicate great potential for rehabilitation. They likewise suggest — this is a quote from defense counsel — they likewise suggest that a low sentence would neither deprecate the seriousness of the offense nor undermine respect for the law and would therefore be just.

Defense counsel, as I mentioned, submitted letters from the defendant, from his wife, his former wife, his children, sisters, nephews, nieces, other relatives. Each of these letters described the defendant as a good, hardworking man, who takes care of his family and is a man who was greatly affected by the passing of his father.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

By letter dated December 7, the government notes that defendant's conduct in this case was serious as he "principally acted as the driver for the coconspirators to pick up narcotics from individuals working with a Mexican cartel, deliver the drugs to potential customers and pick up narcotics proceeds from customers."

The government requests a sentence within the stipulated guideline range but also asks the Court to consider the following -- that is to say, the government acknowledges that the defendant qualifies for safety-valve treatment and advises the Court that following his arrest, the defendant promptly informed the government of his willingness to The government advises the Court that the defendant cooperate. proffered information about other narcotics traffickers in the United States and that he attempted, although unsuccessfully, to obtain a portion of narcotics proceeds that was on the street.

Although the government determined that a cooperation agreement was not warranted, the government believes that the defendant provided truthful information about individuals he believed to be trafficking in narcotics. Additionally, the government notes that the defendant has identified certain aspects of his personal background and circumstances that also warrant consideration at sentencing.

So I've also reviewed the presentence investigation

report dated December 6, 2016, counsel's letter of November 29, 1 2 and the government's December 7th letter. 3 Counsel, have you and Mr. Duran had the opportunity to read and discuss the presentence investigation report along 4 5 with the addendum and sentencing recommendation? 6 MR. BRACKLEY: Yes, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Mr. Duran, have you been over that 8 presentence report with your counsel? 9 THE DEFENDANT: Yes. 10 THE COURT: Do either of you have any objections to 11 the content of that report? 12 MR. BRACKLEY: None, Judge. 13 THE COURT: Mr. Duran, any objections from you to the content of the presentence report? 14 15 THE DEFENDANT: No. 16 THE COURT: So I'll return that report to the 17 probation department. 18 I'm happy to hear from defense counsel at this time, 19 from Mr. Duran, and from the government. 20 MR. BRACKLEY: Thank you, Judge. 21 Good morning, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Good morning. 23 MR. BRACKLEY: As I listened to your recitation of the 24 nature and history of the case, Judge, I'm humbled by the fact

you hit every single major point, especially the fact that

under the guidelines at least.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Mr. Duran came to this country from a very large family, was law-abiding the entire time, married, was in the process of raising his children, and then in a very short period of time, in essence, threw it all away by getting arrested in what you probably see every day are countless drug conspiracies and everybody's minor or major participation in what in the beginning looks like an easy way to make money and in the end ends up putting a man in jail who has never been incarcerated a day in his life, who is now looking at multiple years in jail

And, of course, Judge, we are here sheepish with this new arrest situation because, generally, we like to stand at sentences and talk about the law-abiding life and all of the wonderful things that somebody's going to do but, as you well know, in the process of this case, he was arrested on a misdemeanor. And I will report to the Court for your consideration that he was working at a garage. There were some individuals there who were creating credit cards, and he was arrested trying to go to a restaurant and spend \$12 on one of those cards or some minor amount of money, not that that excuses it but he promptly began to discuss it with the detectives and he has proffered in the state court. And that case will, I believe, Judge, be resolved with a disorderly conduct, upon him identifying whoever it was that was there doing that.

THE COURT: Do you represent him there too?

MR. BRACKLEY: I do, your Honor.

As you can see, his family is in court. Those members who you have discussed who have written letters — his wife, his prior wife, his cousins, his children, his family — and they have been with him since the very beginning. That has always, for me, Judge, even as his lawyer — as you point out, I stuck with him in the state court — has been the most troubling aspect of this, is that basically it halted every aspect of their lives. And then I ended up down in a precinct with his credit card case, and I really don't know what to tell you about that except I think at the time he was working, it became part and parcel of what it was to work there but he immediately fessed up to it.

So what I would ask, Judge, is that, based upon all of that and what I believe is his minimal role in the underlying offense, the sentence I would recommend, Judge, is 36 months. I believe that that would be a period of incarceration. Some lawyers would ask for probation but I think, Judge, it's a significant conspiracy and I think, in fairness, in light of the guidelines, that is a reasonable sentence.

THE COURT: Mr. Duran, do you want to make any comment?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

May I stand up?

THE COURT: You can if you wish or you can remain 1 seated, as long as you talk into the microphone. 2 3 THE DEFENDANT: May I start? 4 THE COURT: Yes. 5 THE DEFENDANT: I would like to thank your Honor and I 6 would like to apologize to the City of New York, which is my 7 city and I love. I'm sorry for the bad acts I committed. apologize to my family and my children, to this country and to 8 9 anyone affected by this case, to my children that I love. 10 Thank you, sir. 11 THE COURT: Counsel for the government? MR. MARK: Your Honor, we rest on our December 7th 12 13 submission to the Court. 14 THE COURT: Okay. So I am going to adopt the findings 15 of fact in the presentence investigation report unless defense counsel has any further objection or any objection to that. 16 17 MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge. 18 THE COURT: Or any objection from Mr. Duran? 19 THE DEFENDANT: No. 20 THE COURT: Or from the government? 21 MR. MARK: No, your Honor. 22 THE COURT: Okay. 23 Let's move to what the proper sentence, a fair

sentence, should be. The most remarkable aspect of this case

is that -- and counsel is right, I have had hundreds, I guess,

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of conspiracies, of drug conspiracies -- the huge difference is that most, almost all, of the defendants do not have strong family support and ties, and so their behavior is actually a little more understandable, actually, because they come from usually chaotic backgrounds and family situations. This one is so stable and supportive and it's quite remarkable.

The second significant difference is that, in all of those other cases, or most of them, the defendants don't work, and so their life is centered around drug activity or unlawful behavior. And here, Mr. Duran always has had productive employment. So you have to say to yourself, why does it happen in this case? That's the part that is elusive to me. anyway, I think everybody gets what I'm saying. I don't know if there is really an explanation but that's the curious aspect of the case to me.

Here's what I plan to do, and then I will impose the I am going to go outside the sentencing guidelines, I don't think it's appropriate to go as far as below it. defense counsel has asked, but I do think I can be comfortable with a sentence of 52 months of incarceration instead of 70 months. The offense level is 27; the criminal history category That would be followed by three years of supervised release -- hold on one second.

(Pause)

THE COURT: So I intend to impose a sentence of three

years of supervision following release from incarceration.

That supervision would be subject to the mandatory conditions:

That defendant not commit another federal, state or local crime; that he not illegally possess a controlled substance; that he not possess a firearm, dangerous weapon or destructive device; and that he refrain from any unlawful use of a controlled substance; he would be required to submit to one drug test within 15 days of placement on supervised release and at least two unscheduled drug tests thereafter, as may be directed by the probation officer.

In addition, he is required to comply with what are called the standard conditions, plus these:

That he will be supervised in his district of residence when supervised release comes into play;

He will be required to report to the probation department within 48 hours of release from custody. And here I'm going to add that, if this is deemed necessary or appropriate or helpful by the probation department, I'm going to also suggest that they have him, Mr. Duran, participate in weekly therapeutic counseling by a licensed therapist. He may be required to contribute to the cost of services rendered, as by a co-payment, in an amount to be determined by the probation officer based on ability to pay or availability of third-party payment. So this is within the discretion of the probation department.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

I am not imposing a fine. None is recommended in the presentence materials.

I am not imposing restitution. There is no victim within the meaning of 18, United States Code, Section 3663 or 3663(a).

I am imposing a \$100 special assessment, which is mandatory under 18, United States Code, Section 3013.

The reasons for this sentence are, notwithstanding that the offense level is 27 and the criminal history category is I and that the sentencing quideline range, albeit not mandatory, is 70 to 87 months of incarceration, I believe that going lower than the low end of the guideline range, to 52 months of incarceration, which constitutes a significant break, so to speak, for the defendant, I think it's appropriate, having considered his history and characteristics, the nature and circumstances of the crime, particularly his strong family ties and relations, and his employment history. I also think this sentence reflects the seriousness of the offense, promotes respect for the law, provides just punishment, affords adequate deterrence to criminal conduct, and protects the public from further crimes. It also suggests and provides needed correctional treatment or medical care, in this case the suggestion of mental health counseling, in the most effective manner.

So that's what I propose to do and I will do it unless

defense counsel has anything further to add at this time. 1 2 MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge. Thank you. 3 THE COURT: Anything further from Mr. Duran? 4 THE DEFENDANT: No. 5 THE COURT: How about from the government? 6 MR. MARK: No, your Honor. 7 THE COURT: Then I would ask Mr. Duran to please stand 8 and I will impose the sentence. 9 The guideline range is 70 to 87 months of 10 incarceration, but having considered the factors at 18, United States Code, Section 3553(a), it is my judgment that the 11 12 defendant, Hosto Duran, be committed to the custody of the 13 Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 52 months, 14 followed by three years of supervised release subject to the 15 terms and conditions that I mentioned and incorporate here by reference; no fine; no restitution; \$100 special assessment, 16 17 which is due immediately. 18 The reasons for this sentence and the significant departure downward from the guidelines is that I believe this 19 20 sentence is compatible with 18, United States Code, Section 21 3553(a). 22 Does either counsel know of any legal reason why the 23 sentence should not be imposed as so stated? 24 MR. MARK: No, your Honor.

MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge Berman.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Then I hereby order the sentence to be imposed as so stated.

Mr. Duran, to the extent that you have not already waived your appeal rights -- and now I'm talking about the plea agreement dated July 27, 2016, which in fact contains several waivers of the right to appeal. The agreement was signed on September 14, 2016, and in it you agree that you would not file a direct appeal. You also agreed that you would not bring a collateral challenge, including, but not limited to, an application under 28, United States Code, Sections 2255 and/or 2241, and you also agreed not to seek a sentence modification under 18, United States Code, Section 3582(c) of any sentence that is within or below the quideline range of 70 to 87 months, and, of course, this sentence is below that, so these waivers of your various rights to appeal do apply.

You also in that plea agreement waived the right to appeal any term of supervised release that is less than or equal to the statutory maximum, which is lifetime, and I have imposed three years, so that clearly triggers that waiver of that appeal right as well.

From the government, any open aspects of the case which you would like to resolve at this time?

MR. MARK: There is an underlying information, so at this time the government moves to dismiss any open counts, your Honor.

GCLKDURS	SENTENCE

THE COURT: Okay. I will grant that application. 1 And then, starting with the government, did you wish 2 3 to add anything to today's sentencing proceeding? 4 MR. MARK: No, your Honor. 5 THE COURT: How about defense counsel? 6 MR. BRACKLEY: No, Judge. Have a fine holiday, sir. 7 THE COURT: You too, you too. I think that concludes 8 our work for today. 9 Mr. Duran, I wish you the very best of luck going 10 forward. Thanks very much. 11 MR. BRACKLEY: Thank you. 12 MR. MARK: Thank you, your Honor. 13 THE COURT: Counsel, did you have any thought about the location of confinement? 14 MR. BRACKLEY: Yes, Judge. I did request in my 15 memorandum, to the extent that this Court is able to do that, 16 17 to recommend somewhere in the Northeast. 18 THE COURT: Close to New York City? 19 MR. BRACKLEY: Yes, Judge. 20 THE COURT: So I'll make that recommendation. 21 MR. BRACKLEY: Thank you, Judge. * * * 22 23 24